

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:20-cr-00150-KJM-1

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00076-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00077-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00078-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00079-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00081-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00082-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00083-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00095-KJM-DB

United States of America,
Plaintiff,

v.

Ronald Steven Schoenfeld,
Defendant.

No. 2:21-mc-00096-KJM-DB

RELATED CASE ORDER


1 Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the
2 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, “[all] actions involve similar questions of fact and the same
3 question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a
4 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
5 these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
6 likely to be convenient for the parties.

7 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
8 to be assigned to the same judge—it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
9 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
10 assigned.

11 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:21-mc-00076-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-
12 00077-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00078-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00080-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00081-KJM-DB;
13 2:21-mc-00082-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00083-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00095-KJM-DB; 2:21-mc-00096-
14 KJM-DB are related and remain assigned to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Deborah
15 Barnes.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: April 13, 2021.

18
19 
20 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28